
Semiclassical fermion pair creation in de Sitter spacetime Version 1

Clément Stahl¹ and Eckhard Strobel¹

1. ICRANet

Made public on Jul, 8th 2015 under Creative Commons 4.0 Attribution License

Reviewed and discussed at <http://www.sjscience.org/article?id=313>

Abstract We present a method to semiclassically compute the pair creation rate of bosons and fermions in de Sitter spacetime. The results in the bosonic case agree with the ones in the literature. We find that for the constant electric field the fermionic and bosonic pair creation rate are the same. This analogy of bosons and fermions in the semiclassical limit is known from several flat spacetime examples.

I. INTRODUCTION

Quantum field theory (QFT) in curved spacetime is one way of merging Einstein's theory of gravitation and QFT in the usual Minkowski spacetime within a self consistent framework [1, 2]. One of its central results is the discovery of particle creation in a time-dependent gravitational field [3]. This mechanism is believed to generate the primordial cosmic inhomogeneities that serve as seeds for the observed large-scale structure of the universe.

A lot of studies of QFT in curved spacetime are investigating de Sitter (dS) spacetime. It has constant scalar curvature and is maximally symmetric for any given dimension, in the same way as Minkowski spacetime. In cosmology, dS space is used as a model for both the early stage of inflation (for reviews see [4]) and the late stage of acceleration of the expansion (an introductory review is given in [5]). In addition to its relevance for cosmology, dS space may give hints to understand the quantum nature of spacetime [6]. These facts motivate the investigation of physical processes in this spacetime.

Originally, particle creation was studied under a strong field background originating from a time dependent vector potential [7]. Since then, it has been explored in a more general set-up, where the effects of the gravitational field and the electric field are both contributing to the creation of pairs. The Schwinger mechanism in curved spacetime, more specifically, in dS space, has recently started to be studied in depth [8–17].

Better understanding Quantum electrodynamics (QED) in dS spacetime could be insightful for a couple of problems. It is an interesting framework for the study of false vacuum decay or bubble nucleation [9, 11]. Constrains on magnetogenesis scenarios were put in [8] via the backreaction of the created pairs and its induced current. In some models of preheating, it might give clues on the open problem of baryogenesis. Via the AdS-CFT correspondence, it has also been used as a playing field to test the ER=EPR conjecture [10]. Additionally it might help to better understand renormalization schemes in curved space-time [1, 18] and the relations of these schemes to each other.

The purpose of this paper is to investigate spin 1/2 pair production under the influence of an external electric field, in four-dimensional dS spacetime (dS₄). This problem has already been studied for bosons in two-dimensional dS spacetime (dS₂) [9] and in dS₄ [8]. In [13, 19] spin 1/2 pair production in dS₂ was investigated but the 4D analog case is much less studied. We propose a derivation of the number of pairs created in the semiclassical limit following the ideas of [20]. We find that there is no difference between bosons and fermions in this limit.

The paper is organized as follows: in section II we investigate the Schwinger effect in dS₄. After describing the basic equations, we show how the Dirac equation becomes a coupled second order differential equation in the presence of both electric and gravitational terms. We review the derivation of the bosonic pair creation rate and calculate the fermionic one with the help of a semiclassical expansion for general electric fields in dS spacetime in section III. We use this result in section IV to compute the bosonic and fermionic pair creation rate of a constant electric field in dS spacetime. Finally we draw some conclusions in section V.

II. DIRAC FIELD IN DS SPACE-TIME

We consider QED coupled to a Dirac fermion in dS₄. In order to study Schwinger pair production, we assume that the gravitational and electrical field are background fields and that the fermionic field is dynamic. The action is given by

$$S = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \mathcal{L} = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left[-\frac{1}{\kappa} R + \frac{i}{2} (\bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu \nabla_\mu \psi - \nabla_\mu \bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu \psi) - m \bar{\psi} \psi - \frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} \right], \quad (1)$$

where the field strength is defined in the usual way $F_{\mu\nu} \equiv \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu$. The dS_4 spacetime we want to study is described by the metric

$$ds^2 = a(\eta)^2(d\eta^2 - d\mathbf{x}^2), \quad (2)$$

with signature $(+,-,-,-)$. Here η is the conformal time which is parametrized by the Hubble factor in the following way

$$\eta = -\frac{1}{a(\eta)H}, \quad a(\eta)^2 H \equiv \frac{da(\eta)}{d\eta}, \quad (-\infty < \eta < 0). \quad (3)$$

For the description of spinors the tetrad field is used. It is related to the metric through the relation

$$g_{\mu\nu} = e_\mu^a e_\nu^b \eta_{ab}, \quad (4)$$

where η_{ab} is the usual Minkowski metric. Throughout this paper, we use Greek indices for spacetime indices ($\mu, \nu = \eta, x, y, z$) and Latin indices for tetrad ones ($a, b = \eta, x, y, z$). Applying the tetrad formalism to dS_4 , one gets

$$e_\mu^a = \begin{pmatrix} a(\eta) & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a(\eta) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a(\eta) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & a(\eta) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (5)$$

The covariant derivative for fermion fields is defined as

$$\nabla_\mu \equiv \partial_\mu + ieA_\mu(x) - \frac{i}{4}\omega_\mu^{ab}\sigma_{ab}, \quad (6)$$

with the commutator of the gamma matrices

$$\sigma_{ab} \equiv \frac{i}{2}[\gamma_a, \gamma_b] \quad (7)$$

and the spin connection are defined as

$$\omega_\mu^{ab} \equiv \frac{1}{4} [e^{b\alpha}(x)\partial_\mu e_\alpha^a(x) - e^{a\alpha}(x)\partial_\mu e_\alpha^b(x) + e^{a\alpha}(x)\partial_\alpha e_\mu^b(x) - e^{b\alpha}(x)\partial_\alpha e_\mu^a(x) + e^{b\nu}(x)e^{a\lambda}(x)e_{c\mu}(x)\partial_\lambda e_\nu^c(x) - e^{a\nu}(x)e^{b\lambda}(x)e_{c\mu}(x)\partial_\lambda e_\nu^c(x)]. \quad (8)$$

The non-zero components of the spin connection (8) can be shown to be

$$\omega_1^{01} = \omega_2^{02} = \omega_3^{30} = -\omega_1^{10} = -\omega_2^{20} = \omega_3^{30} = \frac{a'(\eta)}{2a(\eta)}, \quad (9)$$

where prime denotes derivative with respect to conformal time η .

The gamma matrices γ^μ are related to the gamma matrices in the tangent flat space $\underline{\gamma}^a$ viz.

$$\underline{\gamma}^a \equiv \gamma_\mu e_\mu^a. \quad (10)$$

We will work with the Dirac representation of the gamma matrices, *i.e.*

$$\gamma^j = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma^j \\ -\sigma^j & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma^0 = \begin{pmatrix} I_2 & 0 \\ 0 & -I_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (11)$$

where $\sigma^x = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $\sigma^y = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $\sigma^z = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$.

Varying the action with respect to the spinor field gives the Dirac equation

$$(i\gamma^\mu \nabla_\mu - m) \psi(\mathbf{x}, \eta) = 0. \quad (12)$$

Using Eqs. (5) and (9), this equation becomes

$$\left\{ i \left(\gamma^\mu \hbar \partial_\mu + \frac{3}{2} a H \underline{\gamma}^0 + i e A_\mu \gamma^\mu \right) - m \right\} \psi(\mathbf{x}, \eta) = 0. \quad (13)$$

One now considers the auxiliary field $\Psi(\mathbf{x}, \eta) = a^{3/2}(\eta) \psi(\mathbf{x}, \eta)$ which can be thought of as the equivalent of the Mukhanov-Sasaki variable in inflation models [1]. With this substitution the Dirac equation takes the form

$$\{ \gamma^\mu (i \hbar \partial_\mu - e A_\mu) - m \} \Psi(\mathbf{x}, \eta) = 0. \quad (14)$$

We will also decompose this field in momentum modes according to

$$\Psi(\mathbf{x}, \eta) \sim e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}} \psi_{\mathbf{k}}. \quad (15)$$

To solve the Dirac equation, for the purpose of the calculation of the pair creation rate, it is often useful to use the squared version of the Dirac equation because of its similarities to the Klein-Gordon equation, see e.g. [20, 21]. The squared Dirac equation can be found using

$$\Psi(\mathbf{x}, \eta) = \gamma^\mu [(i \partial_\mu - e A_\mu(\eta)) + m a(\eta)] \phi(\mathbf{x}, \eta) \quad (16)$$

with

$$\phi_{\mathbf{k}}(\eta) = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1(\eta) \\ \phi_2(\eta) \end{pmatrix}, \quad \phi_i(\eta) = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_i^+(\eta) \\ \phi_i^-(\eta) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (17)$$

in the Dirac equation. In the previous equation, $\phi_{\mathbf{k}}(\eta)$ is the Fourier transform (in the sense of (15)) of $\phi(\mathbf{x}, \eta)$. Here we consider a background vector potential for the electromagnetic sector such that

$$A_\mu \equiv A(\eta) \delta_\mu^z. \quad (18)$$

For such fields the squared Dirac equation takes the form

$$(\hbar^2 \partial_\eta^2 + \omega_{\mathbf{k}}(\eta)^2 - i \hbar m a'(\eta)) \phi_1^\pm \pm i \hbar e A'(\eta) \phi_2^\pm(\eta) = 0, \quad (19)$$

$$(\hbar^2 \partial_\eta^2 + \omega_{\mathbf{k}}(\eta)^2 + i \hbar m a'(\eta)) \phi_2^\pm \pm i \hbar e A'(\eta) \phi_1^\pm(\eta) = 0, \quad (20)$$

where the effective pulsation and the kinetical momentum are defined as

$$\omega_{\mathbf{k}}(\eta)^2 \equiv p_z(\eta)^2 + k_\perp^2 + m^2 a(\eta)^2, \quad p_z(\eta) \equiv k_z + e A(\eta), \quad k_\perp^2 \equiv k_x^2 + k_y^2. \quad (21)$$

This equation can be compared to the equivalent bosonic problem. The equation of motion derived from the Klein-Gordon equation is (see Eq (2.13) of [8])

$$(\hbar^2 \partial_\eta^2 + \omega_{\mathbf{k}, \text{boson}}(\eta)^2) q_{\mathbf{k}} = 0, \quad (22)$$

with

$$\omega_{\mathbf{k}, \text{boson}}(\eta)^2 = \omega_{\mathbf{k}}(\eta)^2 - \frac{2}{\eta^2}. \quad (23)$$

The equation of the bosonic problem (20) can be understood as a harmonic oscillator with a time dependent pulsation. The two other terms in Eq. (20) are new and due to the fermionic nature of the particles considered. On the one hand, the mass term was already derived *e.g.* in [18] where no background electric field was considered. On the other hand, the electric term is present for instance in [22] in flat spacetime. Such that this equation is a generalization of the Dirac equation in curved spacetime with background electric and gravitational field.

The squared Dirac equation is also analogous to the Dirac equation for two-component fields in flat spacetime. In [20] a method was used to semiclassically compute the pair creation rate for these fields. It is possible to use the same method for the case studied here. Instead of looking for a solution of the squared Dirac-equation (20) we will however use the ansatz

$$\psi_{\vec{k},\uparrow}(\eta) = \begin{pmatrix} -ik_{\perp} \psi_2^+(\eta) \\ (k_x + ik_y) \psi_2^+(\eta) \\ -ik_{\perp} \psi_1^+(\eta) \\ -(k_x + ik_y) \psi_1^+(\eta) \end{pmatrix}, \quad \psi_{\vec{k},\downarrow}(\eta) = \begin{pmatrix} (k_x - ik_y) \psi_2^-(\eta) \\ ik_{\perp} \psi_2^-(\eta) \\ -(k_x - ik_y) \psi_1^-(\eta) \\ ik_{\perp} \psi_1^-(\eta) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (24)$$

This ansatz can be derived by finding the solution of the squared equation analogous to [20] and then use (16) to construct a solution for the Dirac equation (14). Observe that $\psi_{\vec{k},\uparrow}(\eta)$ and $\psi_{\vec{k},\downarrow}(\eta)$ are independent since

$$\psi_{\mathbf{k},\uparrow}(\eta)^\dagger \cdot \psi_{\mathbf{k},\downarrow}(\eta) = 0. \quad (25)$$

Putting (24) in the Dirac equation (14) leads to the equations

$$i\hbar \psi_1^{\pm}(\eta) + ma(\eta) \psi_1^{\pm}(\eta) \pm (p_z(\eta) + ik_{\perp}) \psi_2^{\pm}(\eta) = 0, \quad (26)$$

$$i\hbar \psi_2^{\pm}(\eta) - ma(\eta) \psi_2^{\pm}(\eta) \pm (p_z(\eta) - ik_{\perp}) \psi_1^{\pm}(\eta) = 0, \quad (27)$$

which we will solve in section III.

III. SEMICLASSICAL NUMBER OF PAIRS IN DS SPACETIME

The semiclassical expansion is considering cases where a vacuum state for the produced particles exists in the asymptotic future. That is true if the background fields are evolving slowly. To quantify the slow varying background more precisely, we introduce a dimensionless slowness parameter T by replacing the scale factor $a(\eta)$ by a family of functions $a_T(\eta) \equiv a(\eta/T)$. Doing that, in the limit of infinitely slow varying backgrounds, $T \rightarrow \infty$, the derivatives of $a(\eta)$ will tend to zero. Orders of T are usually called adiabatic orders [1] but it can be noticed that the only place where T is involved is in the derivative ∂_{η} of the Dirac equation so it is possible to "formally" pose $T = 1/\hbar$ and expand in power of \hbar .

In this section, we will first review the calculation of the number of particles in the bosonic case, which can be carried out with flat spacetime techniques and then present the fermionic case. The strategy will be the following:

- Reformulate the equation of motion in terms of an equation for the mode functions $\alpha(\eta)$, $\beta(\eta)$.
- Perform a multiple integral iteration to compute $|\beta|^2$.
- Calculate the integrals with a semiclassical saddle point approximation to derive the number of created pairs for each momentum mode \mathbf{k} .

A. Equations for the mode functions

In this section we derive the equations for the mode functions from the Klein-Gordon and Dirac equation respectively. The aim is to construct equations in which $\alpha'(\eta)$ depends only on $\beta(\eta)$ and vice versa, in order to perform the multiple integral iteration of the next section.

1. Bosonic case

To compute the semiclassical pair creation rate we start from (22). The form of these equations is the same as in flat spacetime, the only difference being the specific time dependence of the fields. We will shortly review the well know techniques of flat spacetime (see e.g. [21]) applied to our field configurations. One can use the ansatz (which is inspired by a WKB expansion)

$$q_{\mathbf{k}}(\eta) = \frac{\alpha(\eta)}{\sqrt{\omega_{\mathbf{k}}(\eta)}} e^{-\frac{i}{2}K_0(\eta)} + \frac{\beta(\eta)}{\sqrt{\omega_{\mathbf{k}}(\eta)}} e^{\frac{i}{2}K_0(\eta)}, \quad (28)$$

$$q'_{\mathbf{k}}(\eta) = -\frac{i\omega'_{\mathbf{k}}(\eta)}{\hbar} \left[\frac{\alpha(\eta)}{\sqrt{\omega_{\mathbf{k}}(\eta)}} e^{-\frac{i}{2}K_0(\eta)} - \frac{\beta(\eta)}{\sqrt{\omega_{\mathbf{k}}(\eta)}} e^{\frac{i}{2}K_0(\eta)} \right], \quad (29)$$

where

$$K_0(\eta) = \frac{2}{\hbar} \int_{-\infty}^{\eta} \omega_{\mathbf{k}}(\tau) d\tau, \quad (30)$$

where $\alpha(\eta)$, $\beta(\eta)$ are the mode functions.

The momentum spectrum of the pair creation rate is defined as

$$n_{\mathbf{k}} \equiv \lim_{\eta \rightarrow 0} |\beta(\eta)|^2, \quad (31)$$

with the boundary conditions

$$\beta(-\infty) = 0, \quad \alpha(-\infty) = 1. \quad (32)$$

Using this, together with the ansatz (28)-(29) in the Klein-Gordon equation (22) we find that the mode functions are connected through coupled differential equations

$$\alpha'(\eta) = \frac{\omega'_{\mathbf{k}}(\eta)}{2\omega_{\mathbf{k}}(\eta)} e^{iK_0(\eta)} \beta(\eta), \quad (33)$$

$$\beta'(\eta) = \frac{\omega'_{\mathbf{k}}(\eta)}{2\omega_{\mathbf{k}}(\eta)} e^{-iK_0(\eta)} \alpha(\eta). \quad (34)$$

2. Fermionic case

To derive analogous equations in the fermionic case we start from the equations (26) and (27). The initial idea of this work comes from the similarities between this equations and Eq. (11-12)

of [20]. For the semiclassical treatment one makes the following ansatz

$$\psi_1^\pm(\eta) = \frac{C_\pm}{\sqrt{\omega_{\mathbf{k}}(\eta)}} \frac{\sqrt{p(\eta)}}{\sqrt{p_z(\eta) - ik_\perp}} \left(\alpha^\pm(\eta)[\omega_{\mathbf{k}}(\eta) - ma(\eta)]e^{-\frac{i}{2}K(\eta)} + \beta^\pm(\eta)[\omega_{\mathbf{k}}(\eta) + ma(\eta)]e^{\frac{i}{2}K(\eta)} \right), \quad (35)$$

$$\psi_2^\pm(\eta) = \frac{\mp C_\pm}{\sqrt{\omega_{\mathbf{k}}(\eta)}} \frac{\sqrt{p(\eta)}}{\sqrt{p_z(\eta) + ik_\perp}} \left(\alpha^\pm(\eta)[\omega_{\mathbf{k}}(\eta) + ma(\eta)]e^{-\frac{i}{2}K(\eta)} + \beta^\pm(\eta)[\omega_{\mathbf{k}}(\eta) - ma(\eta)]e^{\frac{i}{2}K(\eta)} \right), \quad (36)$$

with the integrals

$$K(\eta) \equiv K_0(\eta) + K_1(\eta), \quad (37)$$

$$K_1(\eta) \equiv k_\perp \int_{-\infty}^{\eta} \frac{ma(\tau)p'_z(\tau)}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}}(\tau)p(\tau)^2} d\tau. \quad (38)$$

Using the ansatz (35)-(36) in (26) and (27), we find that the mode functions are connected through coupled differential equations

$$\alpha'^\pm(\eta) = -\frac{\omega'_{\mathbf{k}}(\eta)}{2\omega_{\mathbf{k}}(\eta)} G_\alpha(\eta) e^{iK(\eta)} \beta^\pm(\eta), \quad (39)$$

$$\beta'^\pm(\eta) = \frac{\omega'_{\mathbf{k}}(\eta)}{2\omega_{\mathbf{k}}(\eta)} G_\beta(\eta) e^{-iK(\eta)} \alpha^\pm(\eta). \quad (40)$$

with

$$G_\alpha = \frac{ma(\eta)}{p(\eta)} - \frac{\omega_{\mathbf{k}}(\eta)ma'(\eta) - ik_\perp p'_z(\eta)}{p(\eta)\omega'_{\mathbf{k}}(\eta)}, \quad (41)$$

$$G_\beta = \frac{ma(\eta)}{p(\eta)} - \frac{\omega_{\mathbf{k}}(\eta)ma'(\eta) + ik_\perp p'_z(\eta)}{p(\eta)\omega'_{\mathbf{k}}(\eta)}, \quad (42)$$

representing the fermionic corrections to the analog bosonic case.

B. Multiple integral iteration

In this section we will perform the multiple integral iteration for the fermionic case. Because of the similar form of the equations (33)-(34) and (39)-(40) the results for the scalar case can be derived from the ones obtained below by setting $G_\alpha(\eta) = G_\beta(\eta) = 1$ and $K(\eta) \rightarrow K_0(\eta)$. By iteratively using Eqs. (39) and (40) and the boundary conditions (32) one finds

$$\begin{aligned} \beta^\pm(0) &= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\eta_0 \frac{\omega'_k(\eta_0)}{2\omega_k(\eta_0)} G_\beta(\eta_0) e^{-iK(\eta_0)} \\ &\times \prod_{n=1}^m \int_{-\infty}^{\eta_{n-1}} d\tau_n \frac{\omega'_k(\tau_n)}{2\omega_k(\tau_n)} G_\alpha(\tau_n) e^{iK(\tau_n)} \int_{-\infty}^{\tau_n} d\eta_n \frac{\omega'_k(\eta_n)}{2\omega_k(\eta_n)} G_\beta(\eta_n) e^{-iK(\eta_n)}. \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

As described in [23] these integrals are dominated by the classical turning points given by

$$\omega_k(\eta_p^\pm) = 0. \quad (44)$$

It can be shown (see [20, 21, 23][25]) that for one pair of simple turning points the momentum spectrum of the pair creation rate (31) in a semiclassical saddlepoint approximation is equal to

$$n_{\mathbf{k}}^{\text{fermion}} = \left| e^{-iK(\eta_p^-)} \right|^2. \quad (45)$$

For the bosonic case we can find

$$n_{\mathbf{k}}^{\text{scalar}} = \left| e^{-iK_0(\eta_p^-)} \right|^2. \quad (46)$$

by using the substitution discussed above. We thus find that analogous to the case of two-component fields in flat space-time the difference between fermions and bosons is a factor of the form $\exp(K_1(\eta_p^-))$.

IV. PAIR CREATION RATE OF A CONSTANT ELECTRIC FIELD IN DS SPACETIME

In this section we derive the pair creation rate for a constant electric field, which is described in dS_4 by

$$A(\eta) = \frac{E}{H^2 \eta}. \quad (47)$$

This is due to the fact that a co-moving observer, with a four-velocity u^μ , would measure an electric field of

$$E_\mu = u^\nu F_{\mu\nu} = aE\delta_\mu^z, \quad (48)$$

which leads to a constant field strength since $E_\mu E^\mu = E^2$. For convenience we introduce

$$\mu^2 = \frac{e^2 E^2}{H^4} + \frac{m^2}{H^2} = \lambda^2 + \gamma^2, \quad (49)$$

where $\lambda = \frac{eE}{H^2}$ represent the electric field divided by the Hubble rate as usually in cosmological spacetime and $\gamma = \frac{m}{H}$ is the mass term divided by the Hubble rate. These two quantities represent the electric and gravitational contribution respectively. We can now check in which limit the semiclassical approximation holds. It requires the rate of change of the background to be small in the asymptotic future, that is

$$\left(\frac{\omega'_{\mathbf{k}}(\eta)}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}}^2(\eta)} \right)^2 \underset{\eta \rightarrow 0}{\sim} \mu^{-2} \quad \text{and} \quad \left(\frac{\omega''_{\mathbf{k}}(\eta)}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}}^3(\eta)} \right) \underset{\eta \rightarrow 0}{\sim} 2\mu^{-2} \quad (50)$$

being small, we see that this is the case when $\mu \gg 1$. Comparing to the bosonic case we see that in the limit $\mu \gg 1$ the "-2" in (23) is negligible, so that the fermionic and bosonic pulsation are the same. To compute the pair creation rate we first have to compute the integrals $K_0(\eta)$ and $K_1(\eta)$ defined in (30) and (38) respectively. The value of η at the turning point (44) is found to be

$$\eta_p^- = \frac{-\lambda \frac{k_z}{k} - i\sqrt{\gamma^2 + \lambda^2 \left(1 - \left(\frac{k_z}{k}\right)^2\right)}}{k}. \quad (51)$$

The imaginary parts of $K_0(\eta_p^-)$ and $K_1(\eta_p^-)$ are the only ones contributing to (45). One can show that

$$\text{Im}[K_0(\eta_p^-)] = -\pi \left(\mu - \frac{k_z}{k} \lambda \right) \theta(k_z \lambda), \quad (52)$$

$$\text{Im}[K_1(\eta_p^-)] = 0, \quad (53)$$

Where $\theta(x)$ is the Heaviside step function. It was introduced since the real part of the turning point (51) has to be negative for the turning point to be inside of the closed contour which is needed in the approximation of (43). We thus find that only pairs with a momentum in z -direction which has the same sign as λ are produced in the semiclassical limit. This is what was called pair production in “screening” direction or “downward” tunneling in [9]. The case of opposite sign, called “upward” tunneling is suppressed in the semiclassical limit.

$K_1(\eta_p^-)$ was the only difference between bosons and fermions and is not contributing to the number of pairs created. Thus we find that the number of pairs in the semiclassical limit for both bosons and fermions is given by

$$n_{\mathbf{k}} = \exp \left[-2\pi \left(\mu - \frac{k_z}{k} \lambda \right) \right] \theta(k_z \lambda). \quad (54)$$

We will close this section by performing the flat spacetime limit and making the relation with the bosonic case of [8] explicit. The definition of the pair production rate is

$$\Gamma \equiv \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3 V} \int d^3 \mathbf{k} n_{\mathbf{k}}, \quad (55)$$

where $V = a(\eta)^4 d\eta$ is the unit four volume of the spacetime. As in [8], an estimate for the moment when most of the particles are created can be found by analyzing when the adiabaticity is violated. This gives

$$\eta \sim -\frac{\mu}{k}. \quad (56)$$

Using this the k -integral can be changed into a time integral. Going to spherical coordinates the k_z integral can be performed. Putting everything together, one finds

$$\Gamma = \frac{H^4}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{\mu^3}{|\lambda|} \left(e^{2\pi|\lambda|} - 1 \right) e^{-2\pi\mu}. \quad (57)$$

We can compare this with Eq. (2.33) of [8], that we reproduce here

$$\Gamma = \frac{H^4}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{(|\mu|^2 + 1/4)^{3/2}}{\sinh(2|\mu|\pi)} \left\{ \frac{H^2}{eE} \sinh \left(\frac{2\pi eE}{H^2} \right) + 2\pi e^{-2|\mu|\pi} \right\}. \quad (58)$$

We see that in the semiclassical limit $\mu \gg 1$ and $\lambda \gg 1$ the expressions are equal. As in the bosonic case the physical number density n of produced pairs at the time η is given by

$$n = \frac{1}{a(\eta)^3} \int_{-\infty}^{\eta} d\tau a(\tau)^4 \Gamma = \frac{\Gamma}{3H}. \quad (59)$$

The fact that it is constant shows that the dilution from the expansion of the universe is exactly compensated by the particles created from Schwinger and gravitational particle creation. Hence

in the semiclassical limit, the population of fermions is always dominated by the particles created within a Hubble time. The vacuum decay rate is defined for fermions as

$$\Upsilon = \log(1 - |\beta_{\mathbf{k}}|^2). \quad (60)$$

The limit in which the Hubble parameter is negligible compared to the gravitational and electrical strength corresponds to the limit to flat spacetime. After some calculations which are analogous to the ones in [8] one can find the Minkowski limit by taking $H \rightarrow 0$, which gives

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{H \rightarrow 0} \Gamma &= \frac{(eE)^2}{(2\pi)^3} \exp\left(-\frac{\pi m^2}{|eE|}\right), \\ \lim_{H \rightarrow 0} \Upsilon &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{i^2} \frac{(eE)^2}{(2\pi)^3} \exp\left(-\frac{i\pi m^2}{|eE|}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (61)$$

These are the familiar results for Schwinger pair production in Minkowski spacetime [7].

V. CONCLUSIONS

In this work, we investigated the fermionic pair creation rate by the combination of an electric and a gravitational field in dS_4 . We first presented the basic equations of our setup and derived the corresponding Dirac equation in section II. In section III, we proposed a semiclassical approximation to compute the number of pairs produced. This approximation is based on a saddle point approximation of the integrals in (43). To make the comparison to the analog bosonic case easier, we first reviewed its computation within our formalism. Then we presented the computation of the number of fermions created for a constant electric field. It is the main result of this paper shown in (54). The pair creation rate, in this limit, is the same in the fermionic case as in the bosonic case. This equivalence between fermions and bosons in the semiclassical limit occurs also for one-component fields in flat spacetime when there is only one pair of turning points (see e.g. [20]). The limit to flat Minkowski spacetime is presented in (61) and agrees with the usual expression for the Schwinger effect.

Going beyond the semiclassical limit is possible but is out of the scope of this paper. To do this one can compute a more general quantity: the fermionic induced current. The current is proportional to the number of pairs created in the semiclassical limit but allows to explore a regime where the notion of adiabatic vacuum and of particles does not necessarily exist. It is a more precise way of describing the Schwinger effect because its definition does not depend on the time of creation of the pairs and the rough estimate (56) can be avoided. A calculation of the current induced by an electric field in dS_2 is performed in [24].

Throughout this paper the electric and gravitational fields have been assumed to be external sources. One of the next steps could be to consider backreaction effects of the newly created particles to the external electric and gravitational fields. To do so, for the electromagnetic side, the electric current needs to be computed and be plugged into the equivalent of the Maxwell equation in curved spacetime. For the gravitational side, the density of particle needs to be plugged in the Friedman equation. Another direction could be to look for cosmological application *e.g.* magnetogenesis, baryogenesis and the relation between dark energy and dark matter in the context of neutrino physics.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors thank Fernanda Gomes De Oliveira, Hendrik Ludwig, Pereira Jonas, Carlos Argüelles and She Sheng Xue for fruitful discussions. They are also grateful to all the peo-

ple who were involved with the organization of the 2nd César Lattes Meeting in Rio de Janeiro. CS and ES are supported by the Erasmus Mundus Joint Doctorate Program by Grant Number 2012-1710 and 2013-1471 from the EACEA of the European Commission respectively.

-
- [1] L. Parker and D.J. Toms, *Quantum field theory in curved spacetime: quantized fields and gravity*, Cambridge University Press, (2009)
- [2] N.D. Birrell and P.C.W. Davies, *Quantum fields in curved space*, Cambridge University Press, (1982).
- [3] L. Parker, *The creation of particles in an expanding universe*, Ph.D. thesis, Harvard University (1966),
L. Parker, Phys.Rev.Lett. **21** 562 (1968),
L. Parker, Phys. Rev. **183**, 1057(1969),
L. Parker, Phys. Rev. D **3**, 346 (1971),
B. S. DeWitt, Phys. Rep. **19**, 295 (1975).
- [4] J. Martin, *Inflationary Perturbations: the Cosmological Schwinger Effect*, Lect. Notes Phys. **738**, 193 (2008) arXiv:0704.3540 [hep-th],
D. Baumann & L. McAllister, *Inflation and String Theory*, arXiv:1404.2601 [hep-th].
- [5] S. M. Carroll, *The Cosmological Constant*, Living Rev. Rel. **4** (2001) 1, arXiv:0004075 [astro-ph].
- [6] T. S. Bunch and P. C. W. Davies, *Quantum Field Theory in dS Space: Renormalization by Point Splitting*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond. A **360**, 117 (1978),
E. Mottola, *Particle Creation in dS Space*, Phys. Rev. D **31**, 754 (1985),
N. D. Birrell and P. C. W. Davies, *Quantum Fields In Curved Space*, Cambridge Univ. Pr.. UK (1982) 340p..
- [7] F. Sauter, Z. Phys. **69**, 742 (1931),
J. Schwinger, *On gauge invariance and vacuum polarization*, Phys. Rev. **82**, 664 (1951),
W. Heisenberg and H. Euler, Z. Phys. **98**, 714 (1936) [physics/0605038],
A. I. Nikishov, Nucl. Phys. B **21**, 346 (1970),
N. B. Narozhnyi and A. I. Nikishov, Yad. Fiz. **11**, 1072 (1970) [Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. **11**, 596 (1970)].
- [8] T. Kobayashi, N. Afshordi, *Schwinger Effect in $4D$ dS Space and Constraints on Magnetogenesis in the Early Universe*, JHEP **1410** (2014) 166, arXiv:1408.4141 [hep-th].
- [9] M. B. Fröb, J. Garriga, S. Kanno, M. Sasaki, J. Soda, T. Tanaka and A. Vilenkin, *Schwinger effect in dS space*, JCAP **1404**, 009 (2014) arXiv:1401.4137 [hep-th].
- [10] W. Fischler, P. H. Nguyen, J. F. Pedraza, W. Tangarife, *Holographic Schwinger effect in dS space*, Phys. Rev. D **91**, 086015 (2015), arXiv:1411.1787v2 [hep-th].
- [11] J. Garriga, *Nucleation rates in flat and curved space*, Phys. Rev. D **49**, 6327 (1994) arXiv:9308280 [hep-ph].
- [12] J. Garriga, *Pair production by an electric field in $(1+1)$ -dimensional dS space*, Phys. Rev. D **49**, 6343 (1994).
- [13] V. M. Villalba, *Creation of spin $1/2$ particles by an electric field in dS space*, Phys. Rev. D **52**, 3742, (1995) arXiv:9507021 [hep-th].
- [14] S. P. Kim and D. N. Page, *Schwinger Pair Production in $dS(2)$ and $AdS(2)$* , Phys. Rev. D **78**, 103517 (2008) [arXiv:0803.2555 [hep-th]].
- [15] J. Garriga, S. Kanno, M. Sasaki, J. Soda and A. Vilenkin, *Observer dependence of bubble nucleation and Schwinger pair production*, JCAP **1212**, 006 (2012) [arXiv:1208.1335 [hep-th]].
- [16] S. P. Kim, *Geometric Origin of Pair Production by Electric Field in dS Space*, Grav. Cosmol. **20**, 193 (2014) [arXiv:1404.3787 [gr-qc]].
- [17] R. G. Cai and S. P. Kim, *One-Loop Effective Action and Schwinger Effect in (Anti-) dS Space*, JHEP **1409**, 072 (2014) [arXiv:1407.4569 [hep-th]].
- [18] A. Landete, J. Navarro-Salas and F. Torrenti, *Adiabatic regularization and particle creation for spin one-half fields*, Phys. Rev. D **89**, 044030 (2014) arXiv:1311.4958v2 [gr-qc].
- [19] S. Haouat and R. Chekireb, *Comment on "Creation of spin $1/2$ particles by an electric field in de Sitter space"*, Phys. Rev. D **87**, 088501 (2013) arXiv:1207.4342 [hep-th],
S. Haouat and R. Chekireb, *Effect of the electric field on the creation of fermions in de-Sitter space-time*, (2015), arXiv:1504.08201 [gr-qc].
- [20] E. Strobel and S.S. Xue, *Semiclassical pair production rate for rotating electric fields*, Phys. Rev. D **91** (2015) 045016 arXiv:1412.2628v2 [hep-th].
- [21] C. K. Dumlu and G. V. Dunne, *Interference Effects in Schwinger Vacuum Pair Production for Time-Dependent Laser Pulses*, Phys. Rev. D **83**, 065028 (2011), arXiv:1102.2899v2 [hep-th].
- [22] Y. Kluger, J. M. Eisenberg, B. Svetitsky, F. Cooper and E. Mottola, *Fermion pair production in a strong electric field*, Phys. Rev. D **45** (1992) 4659.
- [23] M. Berry, Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General **15**, 3693 (1982).
- [24] C. Stahl, E. Strobel and S.S. Xue, *in preparation*.

- [25] The detailed intermediate steps can be found in Eqs. (32)-(38) of [20]. Observe that in the current paper the integration contour is closed in the lower imaginary half plane because of opposite convention for the phases in (43).